

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY IN THE EUROPEAN CAPITAL OF CULTURE CHEMNITZ

Breaking Focus: The impact of disruptive distractions on academic task performance

Jenny Rettstatt, Daniel Brand & Marco Ragni

Professorship of Predictive Analytics

- Contact: jenny.rettstatt@hsw.tu-chemnitz.de
- https://www.tu-chemnitz.de/hsw/pva



Background

Educational Support and Interaction^{1,2}: The Internet and smartphones are transforming classrooms, teaching methods, and student-teacher interactions.



- N = 103 (M_{age} = 37 Jahre ; 45 % female)
- Participants completed two types of academic tasks: Inductive Reasoning (Text Comprehension) and Deductive Reasoning (Light Bulb) while facing distractions.
- Effect of technology on students,^{3,4}: It could improve educational outcomes, but it has created more distractions for students.
- **Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic:** Increased use of technology and more study at home, resulting in even more distractions
- **Consequences of Interruptions**^{6,7}: Involvement in unrelated activities for extended periods of time, increased frequency of errors, and a negative impact on overall performance and learning.
- \rightarrow Students spend only 37% of class time on academic work when using laptops⁸.
- **Text comprehension:** Participants read five syllogistic texts (3-5 premises), judged four conclusions per text, and completed a ten-question yes/no memorization task at the end of the experiment.
- **Light Bulb:** 9 devices with Boolean tasks: turn on/off three switches, test light bulb illumination, describe functionality in the input field
- **Distractions:** Participants faced 12 interruptions (6 per task), including search popups (clicking on the word that appeared in the text) and party pop-ups (keeping track of the number of people and avoiding food duplication).

Research Questions:

Do different types of interruptions (linked vs. unlinked) have varying effects on academic tasks?

Do different types of interruptions (linked vs. unlinked) have varying effects on types of academic tasks?

Examples of the tasks			Examples of the distraction		
Task 1 Please read the text below carefully and answer the question at the bottom of the page.		Device configu	ıration	Select the word that occurs in the text	
In the infinite vastness of the universe, fascinating life forms exist on four different planets. They all have	Input 1	Input 2	Input 3	In a neon green alien costume, Bob tried to parallel park but accidentally abducted a plastic flamingo instead. The pink flamingo now roams the galaxy as an interstellar celebrity.	
different talents and abilities and some of them joined a federation named FloraUnion long ago. One of the lifeforms are the Serapharians, of which some are in the FloraUnion federation. All Serapharians live within a		Reset			

binary star system. Those systems have two stars as centre, which causes various stunning cosmic events, but the most beautiful event is a regularely occuring eclipse. Maybe due to the prominence of the two stars, Serapharians are fascinated by the stars and their life-spending energy. The Serapharians live in harmony with nature and practice a deeply rooted spirituality. The most highly evolved beings in the universe, however, are on the planet Quadrian, the Quadrians. They have a strong sense of technology. Their society is characterized by innovation and scientific progress. They consider the FloraUnion federation as useless and so no Quadrians are in it.

Is it guaranteed that some Serapharians are not Quadrians?

Yes	Νο



Findings



• Correctness:

- Lower memory scores on trials with interruptions
- No significant difference elsewhere
- **Type of Distraction:**
 - No difference in the type
- of distraction
- Tendency to lower scores with related distractions
- Other Findings in the Light Bulb:

Summary

- The study identified learning processes that are affected by distractions:
 - Inductive learning: Distractions negatively impacted memorization.
 - Deductive learning: Distractions interfere with exploring and memorizing a system's correct and tested configurations.
- The type of distraction seems to have an impact on performance:
 - Numerically, it shows fewer correct responses with distractors associated with all conditions.
 - The unrestricted nature of the task may have allowed for unaffected performance:
 - No time limits
 - Redo/Reread the task as often as they like to do

- More tests, repetitions, and time under distraction

 \rightarrow Brief, unobtrusive distractions can significantly impair learning and working memory.

References

- 1. Berry, M., & Westfall, A. (2015). Dial d for distraction: The making and breaking of cell phone policies in the college classroom. College Teaching, 63(2), 62–71.
- 2. Tindell, D., & Bohlander, R. (2012). The use and abuse of cell phones and text messaging in the classroom: A survey of college students. College teaching, 60(1), 1-9.
- 3. Sana, F., Weston, T., & Cepeda, N. (2013). Laptop multitasking hinders classroom learning for both users and nearby peers. Computers & Education, 62, 24–31.
- 4. Wood, E., Zivcakova, L., Gentile, P., Archer, K., Pasquale, D. D., & Nosko, A. (2012). Examining the impact of task multi-tasking with technology on real-time classroom learning. Computers & Education, 58(1), 365–374.
- 5. Biwer, F., Wiradhany, W., Oude Egbrink, M., Hospers, H., Wasenitz, S., Jansen, W., & De Bruin, A. (2021). Changes and adaptations: How university students selfregulate their online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 642593.
- 6. Brumby, D., Cox, A., Back, J., & Gould, S. (2013). Recovering from an interruption: Investigating speed-accuracy trade-offs in task resumption behavior. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 19(2), 95–107.
- 7. Zureick, A., Burk-Rafel, J., Purkiss, J., & Hortsch, M. (2018). The interrupted learner: How distractions during live and video lectures influence learning outcomes. Anatomical Sciences Education, 11(4), 366–376.
- 8. Ravizza, S., Uitvlugt, M., & Fenn, K. (2017). Logged in and zoned out: How laptop internet use relates to classroom learning. Psychological science, 28(2), 171-180.