Harmonizable Multifractional Stable Field: Wavelet representation and sample path behavior

Ayache Antoine, Louckx Christophe

University of Lille, Laboratory Paul Painlevé, France

 Ω

Organization of the talk

1 [Framework and background](#page-1-0)

[Motivations and main goals of the talk](#page-6-0)

- [The field generating HMSF and its wavelet representation](#page-10-0)
- [Results on path behavior for Z, and study of their optimality](#page-17-0)

 Ω

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete probability space.

The integer N is arbitrary and fixed, and $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^N.$ Let H be a function on \mathbb{R}^N with values in an arbitrary compact interval $[\underline{H}, \overline{H}] \subset (0,1).$ Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$ be the stability parameter.

Definition 1 (The Harmonizable Multifractional Stable Field (HMSF))

For all $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$
Z(t) := \Re\mathfrak{e}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{e^{it\cdot\xi}-1}{|\xi|_2^{H(t)+\frac{N}{\alpha}}}\mathsf{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\alpha}(\xi)\Big],\tag{1.1}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\alpha}$ is the complex-valued isotropic S α S random measure on \mathbb{R}^N with Lebesgue control measure, and where $t \cdot \xi$ is the inner product between t and ξ on \mathbb{R}^N .

This is a natural stable extension of the Fractional Brownian Motion (FBM) and the Multifractional Brownian Fields (MBF).

 Ω

A general question for such a non-Gaussian extension is to know if one preserves the regularity property as MBF. In this talk, we will bring an answer.

The Gaussian aspect of the marginal distributions of MBF was crucial to obtain the regularity of its sample paths.

According to the following frame, the marginal symmetric α -stable distributions for such extension are heavy-tailed and only have moments of order $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$.

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨト

 QQ

We mention by passing that there is another stable extension of MBF called the Linear Multifractional Stable Field (LMSF) defined for all $t\in\mathbb{R}^N$ as

$$
Y(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(|t-s|_2^{H(t)-\frac{N}{\alpha}} - |s|_2^{H(t)-\frac{N}{\alpha}} \Big) dM_{\alpha}(s), \qquad (1.2)
$$

where M_{α} is any real-valued $S\alpha S$ random measure with Lebesgue control measure on \mathbb{R}^N .

If $N = 1$, and for some $a < b$ if $\sup_{t \to a} H(t) < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, then it can be shown (see Stoev $t \in (a,b)$ and Taqqu (2004)) that every version of Y has unbounded paths on any sub-interval $(a', b') \subset (a, b)$ of positive length.

We believe that this theorem can be extended to $N \geq 2$.

This is why we are going to focus on HMSF whose, sample paths are continuous functions as soon as H is continuous, as the MBF does. (See e.g., Ayache (2018).)

 Ω

Organization of the talk

² [Motivations and main goals of the talk](#page-6-0)

[The field generating HMSF and its wavelet representation](#page-10-0)

[Results on path behavior for Z, and study of their optimality](#page-17-0)

 Ω

Dozzi and Shevchenko (2011) introduced the Harmonizable Multifractional Stable Process (HMSP) in the case $N = 1$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

Thanks to a LePage series representation of the process Z (see e.g., Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (1994)), they obtained what follows.

Assume that H is a Hölder function of order $\gamma > \overline{H}$. Then Z has a version whose is almost surely Hölder continuous of any order $\gamma < H$, and moreover, almost surely, for all $T, \eta > 0$, satisfies

$$
\sup_{\begin{cases} (t,s)\in[0,T] \\ |t-s|< \delta \end{cases}} |Z(t) - Z(s)| = o\big(\delta^{\underline{H}} |\log(\delta)|^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + \eta}\big), \ \delta \longrightarrow 0^+. \tag{2.3}
$$

One of the goal of our talk, among over things, is to show that the power $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + \eta$ of the log is not optimal and can be substituted by $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \eta$.

 Ω

Bierm´e, Lacaux and Scheffler (2011) introduced a large class of harmonizable multi-operator scaling stable random fields including the HMSF Z with $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and with any $N > 1$.

They obtained some results for this class which implies what follows.

Assuming H is a locally Lipschitz function, one has :

(i) On any non-empty compact interval *I* of \mathbb{R}^N , sample paths of *Z* are almost surely Hölder functions of any order $\gamma < \underline{H}(I) := \min_{t \in I} H(t)$,

This last result is also obtained by using LePage series expansion for Z.

(ii) One has

$$
\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{P}\big(\rho_Z(\tau) = H(\tau)\big) = 1,\tag{2.4}
$$

where

$$
\rho_Z(\tau) := \sup \left\{ \gamma \in [0,1], \limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|Z(t) - Z(\tau)|}{|t - \tau|_2^{\gamma}} < +\infty \right\} \tag{2.5}
$$

denotes the pointwise Hölder exponent on τ of Z.

 Ω

The three main goals of our talk are the following.

Goal 1

To obtain, under weaker assumptions on H than locally Lipschitz-continuity, optimal uniform and pointwise moduli of continuity for Z.

Goal 2

To show, under a weaker assumption on H than locally Lipschitz-continuity, that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^N, \rho_Z(\tau) = H(\tau)) = 1,
$$
\n(2.6)

which is significantly better than :

$$
\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^N : \mathbb{P}\big(\rho_Z(\tau) = H(\tau)\big) = 1. \tag{2.7}
$$

Goal 3

Finally, to derive an almost sure estimate for the asymptotic behavior of Z at infinity, and to find some assumptions on H for having optimality for this estimate.

A.Ayache, C.Louckx (ULille) [HMSF: Wavelet repr. and paths behavior](#page-0-0) FGS7, Chemnitz,september 2024 10 / 30

Organization of the talk

[Framework and background](#page-1-0)

[Motivations and main goals of the talk](#page-6-0)

³ [The field generating HMSF and its wavelet representation](#page-10-0)

[Results on path behavior for Z, and study of their optimality](#page-17-0)

 Ω

Let the field $X=\{X(u,v), (u,v)\in \mathbb{R}^N\times (0,1)\}$ defined for all $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,1)$ by

$$
X(u,v) := \Re\mathfrak{e}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\alpha(u,v,\xi) \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\alpha(\xi)\Big],\tag{3.8}
$$

where F_{α} is the kernel function defined for all $(u,v)\in\mathbb{R}^{N}\times(0,1)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\textsf{N}} \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$
F_{\alpha}(u, v, \xi) := \frac{e^{iu \cdot \xi} - 1}{|\xi|_{2}^{v + \frac{N}{\alpha}}} \text{ and } F_{\alpha}(u, v, 0) = 0. \tag{3.9}
$$

 X is called the field generating the HMSF Z since

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^N, Z(t) = X(t, H(t)). \tag{3.10}
$$

Considering (3.10), properties of Z are strongly influenced by those of X .

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨト

 QQ

Let $\Upsilon_*=\{1,\cdots,2^{\mathsf{N}}-1\},$ and let the sequence $\big(\psi_{\delta,j,k}\big)_{(\delta,j,k)\in\Upsilon_*\times\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{N}}}$ be a Meyer orthonormal wavelet basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Notice that

$$
\psi_{\delta,j,k}(x) = 2^{j\frac{N}{2}} \psi_{\delta}(2^j x - k), \qquad (3.11)
$$

where ψ_{δ} , $\delta \in \Upsilon_*$ are the mother wavelets.

The sequence $\left(\psi_{\delta,j,k}\right)_{(\delta,j,k)\in\Upsilon_*\times\Z\times\Z^N}$ of the complex conjugates of the Fourier transforms of the $\psi_{\delta,j,k}$ is also an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, but it is not a basis for $L^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $\alpha \in (0,2)$.

 Ω

イロト イ伺 トイモト イモト

In spit of the fact that the kernel function $F_{\alpha}(u, v, \cdot)$ associated to X doesn't belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we manage to show that it can be decomposed in $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on the sequence $\big(\psi_{\delta,j,k}\big)_{(\delta,j,k)\in \Upsilon_*\times \mathbb{Z}\times \mathbb{Z}^N}.$ Which allows obtaining :

Theorem 2

There exists an event Ω_{α}^{*} of probability 1 such that for all $(u,v,\omega)\in \mathbb{R}^N\times (0,1)\times \Omega^*_\alpha$, one has, with absolute convergence :

$$
X(u,v,\omega)=\sum_{(\delta,j,k)\in\Upsilon_*\times\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}^N}2^{-jv}\big[\Psi_{\delta}^{(\alpha)}(2^ju-k,v)-\Psi_{\delta}^{(\alpha)}(-k,v)\big]\varepsilon_{\delta,j,k}^{(\alpha)}(\omega). \tag{3.12}
$$

 $\operatorname{\psi^{(\alpha)}_\delta}$ $\frac{(\alpha)}{\beta}$ are real-valued deterministic C^∞ functions on $\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi_\delta^{(\alpha)}$ $\mathcal{S}^{(\alpha)}_\delta(\bullet,\mathsf{v})\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{N}})$, for all $\mathsf{v}\in\mathbb{R}.$

 $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ being the usual (quasi-)norm on $L^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$, one has

$$
\varepsilon_{\delta,j,k}^{(\alpha)} = \Re\mathfrak{e}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{\widehat{\psi}_{\delta,j,k}(\xi)} d\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\alpha}(\xi)\Big] \sim S\alpha S\big(\|\psi_{\delta}\|_{\alpha}\big). \tag{3.13}
$$

 Ω

 4 ロ } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} }

The following crucial lemma, which provides estimates for the random variables ε (α) $\frac{d\alpha}{\delta,j,k}$, is inspired by some results in (Ayache and Boutard 2017) and (Ayache and Xiao 2024).

Similarly to them, it is obtained by using LePage series representation for the real-valued S α S stochastic field $(\varepsilon_{\delta,i}^{(\alpha)})$ $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} (\alpha) \ \delta,j,k \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{ \left(\delta,j,k \right) \in \Upsilon_* \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^N}.$

 Ω

Lemma 3

For each $\alpha \in (0,2)$, for all $\eta > 0$, there exists a positive random variable C such that for all $(\delta,j,k)\in \Upsilon_*\times\mathbb{Z}\times \mathbb{Z}^N$, on the event Ω^*_α of probability 1 , one has

$$
\left| \varepsilon_{\delta,j,k}^{(\alpha)} \right| \le C(1+|j|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\eta} \log^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}(3+|j|+|k|_1), \tag{3.14}
$$

where $|\alpha|$ denotes the integer part of α , $|k|_1 := |k_1| + \cdots + |k_N|$.

Moreover, when $\alpha \in [1,2)$, $\vartheta > 0$ is an arbitrary constant and one restricts to $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\textsf{N}}$ satisfying

$$
|k|_{\infty} := \max_{1 \leq r \leq N} |k_r| \leq \vartheta 2^j,\tag{3.15}
$$

then the following significantly improved version of the inequality (3.14) holds on Ω_α^* for all $\eta>0$ and $\delta\in\Upsilon_*$:

$$
\left| \varepsilon_{\delta,j,k}^{(\alpha)} \right| \le C(1+j)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\eta}.\tag{3.16}
$$

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨト

 QQ

Thanks to Lemma 3, it turns out that the random wavelet series representing the field X converges in a much stronger way than the one we have already seen.

Theorem 4

When their partial sums are well-chosen, the random wavelet series representing the field X , and all its term by term any order partial derivative with respect to v , are, on the event Ω_α^* of probability 1, uniformly convergent over all compact boxes on $\mathbb{R}^N\times (0,1)$.

Therefore, X has a version whose sample paths are almost surely continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,1)$ and \mathcal{C}^{∞} with respect to $v \in (0,1)$.

Corollary 5

A sufficient condition for the HMSF Z to have continuous sample paths on \mathbb{R}^N is that the Hurst functional H be continuous on \mathbb{R}^N .

Moreover, when H is discontinuous at some point $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, then, with probability 1, sample paths of Z are discontinuous functions at τ .

 QQ

 4 ロ } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} }

Organization of the talk

[Framework and background](#page-1-0)

[Motivations and main goals of the talk](#page-6-0)

[The field generating HMSF and its wavelet representation](#page-10-0)

⁴ [Results on path behavior for Z, and study of their optimality](#page-17-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

 299

The Hurst function H is assumed to be continuous on $\mathbb{R}^N.$

Theorem 6 (Global modulus of continuity)

Let I be an arbitrary non-empty fixed compact box of \mathbb{R}^N . One sets <u>H</u>(I) := min H(t).

Moreover, assume the continuous Hurst function H satisfies the following ${\sf uniform\; H\"older\; condition:}$ for some finite c, for all $(t^{(1)},t^{(2)})\in l^2,$

$$
\left|H(t^{(1)})-H(t^{(2)})\right|\leq c\left|t^{(1)}-t^{(2)}\right|\mathbf{H}^{(1)}\log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(1+\left|t^{(1)}-t^{(2)}\right|_{1}^{-1}\right).
$$
 (4.17)

Then, on the event Ω_{α}^* of probability 1, for all $\eta > 0$, one has

$$
\sup_{(t^{(1)}),t^{(2)})\in I^2} \frac{\left|Z(t^{(1)}) - Z(t^{(2)})\right|}{\left|t^{(1)} - t^{(2)}\right|_1^{\frac{H(1)}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\eta}\left(1+\left|t^{(1)} - t^{(2)}\right|_1^{-1}\right)} < +\infty.
$$
 (4.18)

 Ω

Theorem 7 (Optimality for global modulus)

Let I be an arbitrary non-empty compact box of \mathbb{R}^N . We assume that H satisfies on I the same uniform Hölder condition (4.17) as before.

Moreover, assume that there exists some point $\tau^{(0)} \in \mathring{I}$ (the interior of I) such that

$$
H(\tau^{(0)}) = \underline{H}(I) := \min_{t \in I} H(t). \tag{4.19}
$$

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow

Then, almost surely, one has

$$
\sup_{(t^{(1)},t^{(2)})\in I^2} \frac{|Z(t^{(1)}) - Z(t^{(2)})|}{|t^{(1)} - t^{(2)}|_1^{\frac{H(1)}{\alpha}} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(1 + |t^{(1)} - t^{(2)}|_1^{-1}\right)} = +\infty.
$$
 (4.20)

 Ω

- イヨト イヨト

From Theorem 6, we obtain the following pointwise modulus of continuity

Corollary 8 (Pointwise modulus of continuity)

Let τ be an arbitrarily fixed point of \mathbb{R}^N .

We assume that the continuous Hurst function H satisfies the **pointwise Hölder condition at** τ : there exists a finite constant c (which may depend on τ) such that for all t in a neighborhood of τ , one has

$$
\left|H(t) - H(\tau)\right| \leq c \left| t - \tau \right|_1^{H(\tau)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(1 + \left| t - \tau \right|_1^{-1}\right). \tag{4.21}
$$

Then, on the event Ω_{α}^* of probability 1, for all $\eta > 0$ and $\varrho > 0$, one has

$$
\sup_{|t-\tau|_1\leq \varrho} \frac{|Z(t)-Z(\tau)|}{|t-\tau|_1^{H(\tau)}\log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\eta}(1+|t-\tau|_1^{-1})} < +\infty,
$$
 (4.22)

 Ω

Theorem 9 (Optimality for pointwise modulus)

Let τ be an arbitrarily fixed point of \mathbb{R}^N .

We assume that the continuous Hurst function H satisfies the same pointwise Hölder condition at τ as before.

Then there exists an event $\Omega_{\alpha,\tau}\subset\Omega^*_\alpha$ (depending on τ) of probability 1 such that on $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,\tau}$, one has

$$
\limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|Z(t) - Z(\tau)|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(1 + |t - \tau|_1^{-1}\right)} = +\infty.
$$
 (4.23)

Remark that, having obtained a global and pointwise optimal moduli of continuity, we have achieved Goal 1 of the talk.

 Ω

In broad terms, a sketch of the proof of Theorem 9

First step :

For any given integer $m \geq 2$, when we fix m even distinct integers j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m , the m following sequences of random variables are independent :

$$
\{\varepsilon_{\delta,j_1,k}^{(\alpha)}\}_{(\delta,k)\in\Upsilon^*\times\mathbb{Z}^N},\ \{\varepsilon_{\delta,j_2,k}^{(\alpha)}\}_{(\delta,k)\in\Upsilon^*\times\mathbb{Z}^N},\ldots,\{\varepsilon_{\delta,j_m,k}^{(\alpha)}\}_{(\delta,k)\in\Upsilon^*\times\mathbb{Z}^N}
$$

Using this independence, since the $S\alpha S$ distributions of every $\varepsilon_{\delta\,i}^{(\alpha)}$ $_{\delta,j,k}^{(\alpha)}$ are heavy-tailed, and according to Borel-Cantelli Lemma, one obtains.

Proposition 4.1

Let $(k_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z}^N . One has almost surely, for all $\delta \in \Upsilon_*$, the following asymptotic behavior.

$$
\limsup_{j \to +\infty} \frac{|\varepsilon_{\delta,j,k_j}^{(\alpha)}|}{(1+j)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} = +\infty.
$$
\n(4.24)

(□) (/ [□])

不重 网络重

つへへ

Second step : We prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2

For any fixed $(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, 1)$, there is a positive finite deterministic constant
 $c(\widetilde{v})$, depending uniqualy on \widetilde{v} such that on the event Ω^* of probability 1, one c(\widetilde{v}), depending uniquely on \widetilde{v} , such that on the event Ω^*_{α} of probability 1, one
has for all $\delta \in \Upsilon$ has, for all $\delta \in \Upsilon_*$,

$$
\limsup_{j\to+\infty}\frac{|\varepsilon_{\delta,j,\lfloor 2^{j}\widetilde{u}\rfloor}^{(\alpha)}|}{(1+j)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\leq c(\widetilde{v})\limsup_{u\to\widetilde{u}}\frac{|X(u,\widetilde{v})-X(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v})|}{|u-\widetilde{u}|_{1}^{\widetilde{v}}\log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(1+|u-\widetilde{u}|_{1}^{-1}\right)},\qquad(4.25)
$$

where

$$
\lfloor 2^{j}\widetilde{u}\rfloor := \left(\lfloor 2^{j}\widetilde{u}_{1}\rfloor, \cdots, \lfloor 2^{j}\widetilde{u}_{N}\rfloor\right),\tag{4.26}
$$

Therefore, combining both last propositions, for any fixed $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, 1)$,
there wists an averal $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega^*$ of multability 1 which depends are a set $\tilde{\Omega}$ by there exists an event $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,\widetilde{u}}\subset \Omega_\alpha^*$ of probability 1, which depends on α and \widetilde{u} but not on \widetilde{v} , such that, on $\Omega_{\alpha, \widetilde{u}}$, one has

$$
\limsup_{u \to \widetilde{u}} \frac{\left| X(u, \widetilde{v}) - X(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) \right|}{|u - \widetilde{u}|_1^{\widetilde{v}} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(1 + |u - \widetilde{u}|_1^{-1} \right)} = +\infty.
$$
 (4.27)

 Ω

Third step : Finally, fixing τ satisfying the pointwise Hölder condition for H, one writes the inequality

$$
\limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|Z(t) - Z(\tau)|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (1 + |t - \tau|_1^{-1})} \ge \q (4.28)
$$
\n
$$
\limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|X(t, H(\tau)) - X(\tau, H(\tau))|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (1 + |t - \tau|_1^{-1})} - \limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|X(t, H(t)) - X(t, H(\tau))|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (1 + |t - \tau|_1^{-1})}.
$$
\n(4.28)

One gets the conclusion, that means on the event $\Omega_{\alpha,\tau}$ the red left-hand member is infinite because :

• The term in green is infinite on the event $\Omega_{\alpha,\tau}$ by second step.

 \bullet The term in blue is finite on Ω^*_α combining the Lipschitz-continuity of X with respect to the second variable, and the **pointwise Hölder condition at** τ for H.

 Ω

Under a bit stronger assumption on H than the previous local pointwise Hölder condition, it can be shown that the pointwise Hölder modulus of continuity is quasi optimal on a universal event of probability 1 not depending on the location :

Theorem 10 (Quasi optimality on a universal event of probability 1)

There exists a universal event $\widehat{\Omega}_{\alpha}$ of probability 1 such that for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$
\lim_{t \to \tau} \frac{|H(t) - H(\tau)|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)}} = 0,
$$
\n(4.29)

there exists $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$ (depending on the function H) such that, on $\hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}$, one has

$$
\limsup_{t \to \tau} \frac{|Z(t) - Z(\tau)|}{|t - \tau|_1^{H(\tau)}} \ge \widehat{c} > 0.
$$
\n(4.30)

 Ω

Notice that, under the assumption that the Hurst function H is a locally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^N , the conclusion of Theorem 10 is a strictly stronger result than the equality mentioned in Goal 2 and recalled here.

 $\mathbb{P}(\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^N, \rho_Z(\tau) = H(\tau)) = 1.$ (2.6)

Indeed, under the latter assumption, or more generally when H is a locally Hölder function on \mathbb{R}^N of any arbitrary order $\gamma \in (\overline{H},1)$, then the condition (4.29) is satisfied by all point $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^N$, thus (2.6) results from Theorem 10. Therefore, we have reached Goal 2.

 Ω

Theorem 11 (Estimation of the behavior at infinity)

On the event Ω_{α}^{*} of probability 1, for all $\eta, \varrho > 0$, one has

$$
\sup_{|t|_1 \geq e} \frac{|Z(t)|}{|t|_1^{H(t)} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \eta} (1 + |t|_1)} < +\infty.
$$
 (4.31)

Moreover, when for some finite constants $H_{\infty} \in [\underline{H}, \overline{H}] \subset (0,1)$ and $c > 0$ the following inequality holds : for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$
|H(t) - H_{\infty}| \le c \big(\log(3 + |t|_1)^{-1}, \tag{4.32} \big)
$$

then (4.31) can equivalently be reformulated as : on Ω^{*}_{α} , for all $\eta,\varrho>0$ one has

$$
\sup_{|t|_1 \geq \varrho} \frac{|Z(t)|}{|t|_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \eta} (1 + |t|_1)} < +\infty.
$$
 (4.33)

 Ω

And finally, one results offering optimality for the estimation of behavior at infinity of Z.

Theorem 12 (Optimality of the estimation of the behavior at infinity)

Assume that there exists three finite constants $H_{\infty} \in [\underline{H}, \overline{H}]$, $\eta_{\infty} > 0$, and $c > 0$ such that for all $t\in \mathbb{R}^{\textsf{N}}$, one has

$$
|H(t) - H_{\infty}| \leq c (\log(3+|t|_1)^{-1-\eta_{\infty}}).
$$
 (4.34)

Then there exists an event $\breve{\Omega}_{\alpha}$ of probability 1, such that on $\breve{\Omega}_{\alpha}$, one has

$$
\limsup_{|t|_1 \to +\infty} \frac{|Z(t)|}{|t|_1^{\frac{1}{\infty}} \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1+|t|_1)} = +\infty.
$$
 (4.35)

Theorems 11 and 12 allow us to reach Goal 3 of our talk.

 Ω

 $4.11 \times 4.60 \times 4.75 \times 4.75$

References

• Ayache, A., Multifractional Stochastic Fields : Wavelet Strategies In Multifractional Frameworks, World Scientific (2018)

• Ayache, A., Boutard, G., Stationary increment harmonizable stable fields : upper estimates on path behavior, J. Theoret. Probab. 30, 1369-1423 (2017)

• Ayache, A., Xiao, Y. : An Optimal Uniform Modulus of Continuity for Harmonizable Fractional Stable Motion. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, to appear

- Biermé, B., Lacaux, C.,Scheffler, H.P. : Multi-operator scaling random fields. 2011 in Stochastic Processes and their Applications 121, issue 11, pp 2642-2677
- M. Dozzi, G. Shevchenko (2011), Real harmonizable multifractional stable process and its local properties in : Stochastic Processes and their Applications 121, pp 1509-1523
- Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M.S. : Stable Non-Gaussian Random Variables. Chapman and Hall, London (1994)

• S.Stoev, M.S.Taqqu, "Stochastic properties of the linear multifractional stable motion", Advances in applied probability 36 (2004), no. 4, p. 1085-1115. , iv, 1, 65, 91

Thank you for your attention

 Ω

 4 ロ } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} } 4 \overline{m} }