

Self-similar measures

Péter P. Varjú

University of Cambridge

23. September 2024.

Self-similar sets and measures

Iterated function system (IFS)

A finite collection of **contractive similarities** on \mathbf{R} :

$$\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\},$$

$$\varphi_j(x) = \lambda_j x + t_j.$$

The IFS is **homogeneous** if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m$.

Self-similar set

Given an IFS Φ , there is a **unique compact** $K \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$K = \varphi_1(K) \cup \dots \cup \varphi_m(K).$$

This is also called the attractor.

$$\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}, \quad \varphi_j(x) = \lambda_j x + t_j$$

Self-similar measure

Given an IFS Φ and a probability vector p_1, \dots, p_m , there is a **unique probability measure** μ on \mathbf{R} such that

$$\mu = p_1 \varphi_1(\mu) + \dots + p_m \varphi_m(\mu),$$

where $\varphi_j(\mu)$ denotes the push-forward.

Where Φ is **homogeneous**, μ is an **infinite convolution**. Indeed, let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent random variables, each taking the value t_j with probability p_j for all j .

Then μ is the law of

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n \lambda^n,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m$.

Questions of Interest

- What are the dimensions of self-similar sets and measures?
- Which self-similar measures are a.c. with respect to the Lebesgue measure?
- Fourier decay.
- Diophantine approximation.
- ...

Dimension

Exact overlaps

The IFS Φ has **exact overlaps**, if there are $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and indices

$$(i_1, \dots, i_l) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^l$$

such that

$$\varphi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{i_l} = \varphi_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{j_l},$$

that is, if the **semi-group** generated by Φ is **not free**.

Conjecture

If Φ has **no exact overlaps**, then the dimension of the self-similar measure is

$$\dim \mu = \min \left(1, \frac{p_1 \log p_1^{-1} + \dots + p_m \log p_m^{-1}}{p_1 \log \lambda_1^{-1} + \dots + p_m \log \lambda_m^{-1}} \right).$$

Conjecture

If Φ has **no exact overlaps**, then the dimension of the self-similar measure is

$$\dim \mu = \min \left(1, \frac{p_1 \log p_1^{-1} + \dots + p_m \log p_m^{-1}}{p_1 \log \lambda_1^{-1} + \dots + p_m \log \lambda_m^{-1}} \right).$$

Remarks

- There is also a conjecture for sets, and it follows from this.
- The inequality \leq is known and easy.
- If the IFS has good separation properties (e.g. open set condition), this is a classical result. (Moran 1946)
- In the presence of exact overlaps, the numerator should be replaced by the random walk **entropy rate**, defined later.

Theorem (Hochman '14)

The conjecture holds if the IFS satisfies the **exponential separation condition**. In particular, it holds for most IFS in a very strong sense. It also holds if all the λ_j and t_j parameters are **algebraic numbers**.

Now the challenge is to prove the conjecture for all IFS in families. So far, this has been achieved in the following cases.

- $\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t\}$, λ is algebraic. (Hochman '14 +Shmerkin-Solomyak)
- All λ_j are algebraic, t_j are arbitrary. (Rapaport '22)
- $\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\}$ (V '19)
- Homogeneous IFS, all t_j rational. (Rapaport, V '24)
- Homogeneous IFS, all t_j algebraic. (Feng, Feng '24+)
- $\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t\}$, $\lambda \in (2^{-2/3}, 1)$, uniform weights. (Rapaport, V'24)
- $\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t, \lambda x + 1 + t\}$, $\lambda \in (2^{-3/2}, 1)$ uniform weights. (Streck '24+)

Entropy rates (Garisa entropy)

Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ be an IFS.

Let ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random elements of Φ distributed according to the probability weights p_1, \dots, p_m .

Then the **entropy rate** is

$$h(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\xi_0 \circ \xi_1 \circ \dots \circ \xi_{n-1}),$$

where H stands for Shannon entropy.

In the homogeneous case

$$h(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_j \lambda^j\right),$$

where X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. in $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$.

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\} \quad (\text{V '19})$$

$$h(\lambda) = h(\Phi(\lambda))$$

From now on: weights are always uniform.

Theorem (Breuillard, V '20)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda) \leq \log(2) - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) < C.$$

Mahler measure

Let λ be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial

$$a_d(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_d).$$

Then

$$M(\lambda) = |a_d| \prod_{j=1}^d \max(1, |\lambda_j|).$$

Mahler measure

Let λ be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial

$$a_d(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_d).$$

Then

$$M(\lambda) = |a_d| \prod_{j=1}^d \max(1, |\lambda_j|).$$

If λ is transcendental, we define $M(\lambda) = \infty$.

If $\lambda = p/q \in \mathbf{Q}$, $M(\lambda) = \max(|p|, |q|)$.

small $M(\lambda)$ \longleftrightarrow large $M(\lambda)$

small $h(\lambda)$ \longleftrightarrow large $h(\lambda)$

good separation \longleftrightarrow bad separation

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\} \quad (\text{V '19})$$

$$h(\lambda) = h(\Phi(\lambda))$$

$$\Phi(\lambda, t) = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t\} \quad (\text{Rapaport, V'24})$$

$$h(\lambda, t) = h(\Phi(\lambda, t))$$

Theorem (Breuillard, V '20)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda) \leq \log(2) - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) < C.$$

Problem

$h(\lambda, t)$ may be small even if λ is transcendental.

Example: If $t = 1$, then

$$h(\lambda, t) \leq \frac{1}{3} \log 3 + \frac{2}{3} \log(3/2) = \log 3 - \frac{2}{3} \log 2.$$

Entropy rate for sets of parameters

Fix some $A \subset (0, 1) \times \mathbf{R}$.

Now consider the IFS $\Psi(A) = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t\}$ as maps

$$A \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

For $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, consider the composition operation

$$(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2)(\lambda, t, x) = \varphi_1(\lambda, t, \varphi_2(\lambda, t, (x))) : A \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

Let ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots be an i.i.d sequence of random elements of $\Psi(A)$, and define

$$h(A) = h(\Psi(A)) = \lim \frac{1}{n} H(\xi_0 \circ \dots \circ \xi_{n-1}).$$

Observation

If $A_1 \subset A_2$, then $h(A_1) \leq h(A_2)$.

In particular, $h(\lambda, t) \leq h(A)$ for $(\lambda, t) \in A$.

Question (Rapaport, V '24)

Is there a C for all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds?

Let λ, t be such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \log 3 - \varepsilon$$

and for all curves γ passing through (λ, t) , we have

$$h(\gamma) > \log 3 - C^{-1}.$$

Then $M(\lambda) < C$.

Theorem (Rapaport, V '24)

If YES, then the conjecture about dimension holds for the IFS

$$\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t\}.$$

Theorem (Rapaport, V '24)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \frac{2}{3} \log 2 - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) \leq C.$$

Theorem (Rapaport, V '24)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \frac{2}{3} \log 2 - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) \leq C.$$

Observations

$$\frac{2}{3} \log 2 < \log 3.$$

Even

$$\frac{2}{3} \log 2 < \log 3 - \frac{2}{3} \log 2.$$

In fact, there are no curves γ with

$$h(\gamma) \leq \frac{2}{3} \log 2.$$

The number $(2/3) \log 2$ can probably be improved both in the above observation and in the theorem.

Change the setting to

$$\Phi = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \lambda x + t, \lambda x + 1 + t\}.$$

Question (Rapaport, V '24)

Is there a C for all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds?

Let λ, t be such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \log 4 - \varepsilon$$

and for all curves γ passing through (λ, t) , we have

$$h(\gamma) > \log 4 - C^{-1}.$$

Then $M(\lambda) < C$.

Theorem (Streck '24+)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \frac{3}{4} \log 4 - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) \leq C \text{ or } t = 0.$$

Theorem (Streck '24+)

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is C such that

$$h(\lambda, t) < \frac{3}{4} \log 4 - \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad M(\lambda) \leq C \text{ or } t = 0.$$

Remarks

- Implies the conjecture about dimension if $\lambda > 4^{-3/4}$.
- With $\log 2$ in place of $(3/4) \log 4$, the theorem follows from Breuillard-V.
- $h(\{t = 0\}) = \log 2$.
- $h(\{t = 1\}) = (3/4) \log 4$.

Absolute continuity – results for typical parameters

Theorem (Solomyak 1995) – Transversality

The self-similar measure for

$$\{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\}$$

is a.c. for almost all $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$.

Theorem (Shmerkin '14)

The set of exceptional $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$ is 0 dimensional.

- The method combines Hochman's result about dimension 1 with power Fourier decay (Erdős, Kahanne).
- It was extended to non-homogeneous IFS's and to dimension 2 (Saglietti, Shmerkin, Solomyak '18), (Solomyak, Śpiewak '23+).

Absolute continuity – explicit examples

Theorem (Garsia 1962)

Let $\Phi = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\}$ with uniform weights.
If $M(\lambda) = 2$ the self-similar measure is a.c.

Theorem (Dai, Feng, Wang '07)

Let $\Phi = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1, \dots, \lambda x + M - 1\}$ with uniform weights.
If $M(\lambda) = M$ and all Galois conjugates are < 1 in modulus, the self-similar measure is a.c.

Theorem (Streck '23+)

Let Φ be homogeneous with common contraction λ and integer translations.
If the weights are such that $h(\Phi) = M(\lambda)$, and λ has no conjugates on the unit circle, then the self-similar measure is a.c.

Theorems (V '19), (Kittle '24)

Let $\Phi = \{\lambda x, \lambda x + 1\}$ with uniform weights.

Suppose $h(\lambda) = \log 2$, that is, no exact overlaps.

Then there is an explicit monotone increasing function F on $(1/2, 1)$ such that the self-similar measure is a.c. provided $M(\lambda) < F(\lambda)$.

Remarks

- The result in (V '19) is applicable only when λ is near 1, and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} F(\lambda) = \infty$. It gives examples like $\lambda = 1 - 10^{-50}$.
- In (Kittle '24), $F(\lambda) > 2$ for all $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$. It gives examples like $\lambda = 0.78207\dots$
- The condition $h(\lambda) = \log 2$, and uniform weights are not needed.
- The method is based on repeated applications of entropy increase under convolution and quantitatively efficient estimates.
- It was extended to Furstenberg measures (Kittle '23+).

Thank you!